

# Children under threat: Dr Judith Reisman

Dr Judith Reisman, American co-author of the book *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud* which details allegations of sexual abuse of children by researchers working for the founder of modern sex education, Alfred Kinsey (News Weekly June 1991) visited Australia last month.

In Melbourne, she spoke on worrying international trends towards the sexualisation of children at younger and younger ages via sex education programs and moves to lower the legal age of consent.

Dr Reisman had a number of heated media interviews while visiting Australia. She referred to these and to the controversial new Australian youth magazine *Extra*, in a talk at the Melbourne office of the Australian Family Association. Extracts appear below.

I have been lecturing for quite a while on the fact that children are the targets of the pornography/pedophile cartel. I'm not just talking about pornography. Understand that whenever you're talking about pornography, you're talking about pedophilia. It's the children that they want. And by the way it's the pretty white children that they want. I'm not agreeing with that sort of discriminatory posture, I'm not advocating equal opportunity for Third World kids, but the bottom line is that it's little blonde, white kids that are desired by this industry.

In Australia, someone brought this magazine (*Extra*) to me. It's a magazine that just came out recently. It's a new magazine for young people, and it's got young television heroes and heroines in it.

First I see they've got these youngsters

in their underwear, sort of in semi-copulating postures. And I'm thinking, 'What the blazes are these people up to?' And then it contains a little condom - a give-away condom for the children, and it goes with the magazine.

Now your children and your grandchildren are getting free condoms. And what does it tell the children? Does it say 'Wait till marriage' or 'Abstinence is best'. No. It says 'Get it on!' On the inside it tells how to roll them on. It gives them up to 10 directions on exactly how to do it - they're awfully young after all, so they do have to be given step-by-step instructions.

Then I take a look at this - it's the young person's guide to sex. It's a little free pocket book. A pocket book travels, doesn't it? It goes in your pocket. That means the little boy can stick it in his pocket, the little girl can put it in her purse and they have their directions that they carry with them.

Then I look at the back and it says, 'Approved by the Family Planning Association of NSW', and above it is the FPA logo. It's free with *Extra* magazine. They have a list at the back where it says 'Health', and it has all the Family Planning Association phone numbers, and all the phone numbers for the AIDS Council health lines. Then they have all the phone numbers for the gay counsellors service. Then they have STDs. Then at the bottom they have rape crisis lines. These are all inter-related, though they don't exactly admit that.

At the front it says, 'This book is all about sex. It's designed to help you make responsible decisions about sex: when to have it, how to have it', and so on.

It's an A to Z guide. It says A is for AIDS, and also for Alcohol. They say if you drink too much, then it can relax you

and it can dissolve your nervousness about having sex for the first time. But they also say it clouds your judgment, and it numbs your body, and - this is the real problem - it makes it difficult for girls to achieve orgasm. This is what they're telling these children.

But it gets better. What about B? Is it about Babies? You might think that there is a relationship between sex and babies. But what does the Family Planning Association say about B? B is for Bondage. "This is a form of sex in which consenting partners that means they have discussed it beforehand" - this is for teenage children - "agree to be tied up and sometimes spanked. Leather garments, masks, whips are used. It's not commonplace. The word kinky is often used to describe it, but there's nothing wrong with it."

This is sick. Who is writing this? Who has been writing this?

I won't tell you what C is. Then come D. D is for Date rape. Etc. K is for Kinky sex. They say people whip each other, and the say this can be fun.

Is L for Love? No, it's for Libido. That's about how sexy you feel, how often you want to have sex, and so on. Q is for Quickie, which is exactly that.

Z is for Zonked - "how you'll feel after a good session."


This is 'Family Planning'! They tell you about foreplay - how to do it. Contraception - condoms are best. They say it's the only protection from sexually transmitted disease passed on from partner to partner during sex. They say condoms give over 90% protection from pregnancy, provided you put them on properly.

That's about 10% risk; that's no protection. With AIDS, you're killing the kids; you're killing the children.

The Netherlands just lowered the age of consent to 12. Why? Was there a lobby group of 12 year olds in the Netherlands marching through the streets with signs, lobbying the parliamentarians saying they want a right to have sex with old sloppy people? After all, once you're 23 everyone looks old and decadent to a kid.

It wasn't a lobby group of kids. What was it? You think there wasn't a lobby group? You bet it was a lobby group.

And in Victoria, sexual penetration of children has been removed as a major crime. It's not part of the major crime index in Victoria. When I heard this I didn't believe





it, until I saw the documentation. It's there. And prostitution is legal. What kind of insanity is this? Who are your public servants serving? Not the children.

When I was in Wales I was delivering a paper at the British Psychological Association conference on Love and Attraction. I was delivering a paper on children in *Playboy*. I had discovered that children were being sexualised in this magazine. When I discovered that, I was pretty shocked because I had always thought of *Playboy* as a 'girlie' magazine. I didn't like it because it demeaned women, because I thought it set up fantasies, I thought it broke down relationships and communication between women and men and all that sort of thing, and so I was doing a paper initially to look at all of that. Then I realized there were kids here.

So I delivered a paper on that in Wales, and at that conference the North American Man Boy Love Association was very active. The head of PIE—the Pedophile Information Exchange—came to the conference. That's when I came to realize that there is a thing that I call the academic pedophile movement. I know there is such a thing because I met them.

The *Lancet* and the German Medical Tribune have said that our work [documented in *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*] demolished Kinsey. But now what do we do, because Kinsey still stands as the father of human sexuality education, he educated the people who educated the people, about human sexuality.

When I got this *Lancet* review—you can't get better than *The Lancet*—I thought in my innocence that I could go to our scientific journals, all of which were refusing to print anything about our charges about Kinsey's fraud. I thought the popular press won't print this, maybe because it's too complicated and they don't understand it, or maybe they're bigoted, but of course the American scientific establishment will say, 'My goodness, *The Lancet* has said this, Mr and Mrs and Ms Scientist out there, the book is available, read it, decide for yourselves.' They wouldn't print it. They won't tell anybody that that book exists.

Why? Because you have a multi-billion dollar enterprise, a sex education enterprise, an international family planning

exercise, a sex therapy enterprise, standing upon Kinsey and all of his people. If you cut that root out, then the whole thing collapses.

It's like phrenology. At the turn of the century we talked about measuring intelligence. Everybody knew about measuring intelligence. It was by the size of your cranium and the bumps on your head. Of course, the guy that developed phrenology had a certain sized cranium, and a certain number of bumps on his head, and from that he construed that the most intelligent people have his size cranium with that number of bumps.

It took many, many, many years—as I recall about 50 years—to reverse it because he had many friends around him with similar craniums. It took a lot before we were able to wrest it loose. We had to have somebody whose cranium was that much smaller, and whose bumps were that much fewer, and who was so angry that he was able to get other small-headed people with limited bumps together to say 'No, that's not how you determine intelligence.'

That's about where we are with sex education today.

## An international pornography cartel?

Interview with Dr Judith Reisman

Can you tell us more about what you call the international child sex/pornography 'cartel'?

Pornography is a multi-billion dollar industry. It does cross borders—the magazines, the films, the X-rated things, these are internationally exchanged. The pornographers in one nation buy from the pornographers in another nation, they exchange back and forth, and so forth. And they have a significant interest in each other's political lives. Because that which is legal makes it better for the industry as a whole. Countries where there is no legal age of consent make it better for the pornography industry to do its work there because they can have children of any age. Those countries that hold back those laws, well you'd want try to help them change those laws in whichever ways are possible.

The North American Man-Boy Love Association is not just active in the United States, it would appear to be internationally active. *The Journal of Pedophilia*, which promotes pornography and pedophilia is produced in Denmark, is sold in the United States in the gay bookstores and so forth, and that has a list of international members on its board.

And then there is my experience in Wales. The international academic pedophile organisation coincides with the international pornography supporters. They're all interlocked. So it's a cartel, essentially.

So it features two parts, you might say: the pornographers themselves, or the vendors of pornography on one side, and certain academics...

That support it, and that give

testimony in courts of law. They're constantly being called in. Not only do they do the research to talk about everything being harmless, but then after doing all the so-called research they then also appear in legal cases as expert witnesses, to talk about the harmlessness of pornography, and the fact that certain sexual abuse cases are not as serious as they are viewed, and so on. [Some] academics serve as the so-called objective researchers who deliver the so-called scientific information to the public, from which the public makes its determinations as to whether something is or isn't normal.

Do you have evidence of direct financial links between groups like the Kinsey Institute and 'Playboy'?

Absolutely. When I was in Jerusalem delivering my first paper there on Kinsey, *Playboy* had already contributed something like \$100,000; perhaps it was more, maybe \$200,000, to the Kinsey Institute, and the representative from the Kinsey Institute stood up and said, 'Oh, we've never received money from *Playboy*'. So I said, 'Sue *Playboy*, because they're the ones that wrote that they gave it to you.'

I don't think that they've sued *Playboy*.

The *Playboy* Foundation has funded the Freedom to Read Foundation. They have funded the Masters and Johnson sex research. They funded the sex education establishment across the board, the length and breadth of the United States. They've funded Planned Parenthood, they've funded the pro-abortion movement. All that's interlocked.